### Identifying codes and metric dimension on selected graph classes

Florent Foucaud University of Johannesburg + Université Paris-Dauphine

*joint work with:* George Mertzios (Durham U.), Aline Parreau (U. Liège) Reza Naserasr (U. Paris-Sud), Petru Valicov (ENS Lyon)

LAMSADE, July 2014

# Part I: identifying codes















 $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ 

 $C \subseteq V(G)$  is an identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998):

- for every  $u \in V$ ,  $N[v] \cap C \neq \emptyset$  (domination).
- $\forall u \neq v$  of V,  $N[u] \cap C \neq N[v] \cap C$  (separation).



 $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ 

 $C \subseteq V(G)$  is an identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998):

- for every  $u \in V$ ,  $N[v] \cap C \neq \emptyset$  (domination).
- $\forall u \neq v$  of V,  $N[u] \cap C \neq N[v] \cap C$  (separation).

 $\gamma^{ID}(G)$ : identifying code number , minimum size of an identifying code of G.



 $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ 

 $C \subseteq V(G)$  is an identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998):

- for every  $u \in V$ ,  $N[v] \cap C \neq \emptyset$  (domination).
- $\forall u \neq v$  of V,  $N[u] \cap C \neq N[v] \cap C$  (separation).

 $\gamma^{ID}(G)$ : identifying code number

 $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G)$ 



 $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ 

 $C \subseteq V(G)$  is an identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998):

- for every  $u \in V$ ,  $N[v] \cap C \neq \emptyset$  (domination).
- $\forall u \neq v$  of V,  $N[u] \cap C \neq N[v] \cap C$  (separation).

 $\gamma^{ID}(G)$ : identifying code number

 $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G)$ 

• Special case of test covers in hypergraphs

- Special case of test covers in hypergraphs
- Not always exists!
- G has identifying code  $\iff \forall u, v, N[u] \neq N[v]$  (twin-free graph)

- Special case of test covers in hypergraphs
- Not always exists!
- G has identifying code  $\iff \forall u, v, N[u] \neq N[v]$  (twin-free graph)

Theorem (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998 + Gravier & Moncel 2007)

Let G be a nonempty graph on n vertices, then

$$\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \leq \gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G) \leq n-1$$

- Special case of test covers in hypergraphs
- Not always exists!
- G has identifying code  $\iff \forall u, v, N[u] \neq N[v]$  (twin-free graph)

**Theorem** (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998 + Gravier & Moncel 2007)

Let G be a nonempty graph on n vertices, then

 $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \leq \gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G) \leq n-1$ 



### Definition - Interval graph

Intersection graph of intervals of the real line.



If G is an interval graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(G) > \sqrt{2n}$ .





- Identifying code of size k.
- Define zones using the right points of code intervals.

If G is an interval graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) > \sqrt{2n}$ .



- Identifying code of size k.
- Define zones using the right points of code intervals.
- Each vertex intersects a consecutive set of code vertices when ordered by left points.

If G is an interval graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) > \sqrt{2n}$ .



- Identifying code of size k.
- Define zones using the right points of code intervals.
- Each vertex intersects a consecutive set of code vertices when ordered by left points.

$$\rightarrow n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i) < \frac{k^2}{2}$$

#### Bound for interval graphs

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

If G is an interval graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) > \sqrt{2n}$ .

Tight:



Definition - Permutation graph

Given two parallel lines A and B: intersection graph of segments joining A and B.



If G is a permutation graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) \ge \sqrt{n+2}$ .



- Identifying code of size k: k+1 "top zones" and k+1 "bottom zones"
- Only one segment for one pair of zones



- Identifying code of size k: k+1 "top zones" and k+1 "bottom zones"
- Only one segment for one pair of zones

$$\rightarrow n \leq (k+1)^2 + k$$



- Identifying code of size k: k+1 "top zones" and k+1 "bottom zones"
- Only one segment for one pair of zones

 $\rightarrow n \leq (k+1)^2 + k$ 

• Careful counting for the precise bound



If G is a permutation graph on n vertices, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) \ge \sqrt{n+2}$ .

Tight:



Let G be a graph on n vertices.

- If G is unit interval, then  $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(G) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ .
- If G is bipartite permutation, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) \geq \frac{n-2}{3}$ .
- If G is a cograph, then  $\gamma^{ID}(G) \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$ .

Set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  is shattered:

for every subset  $S \subseteq X$ , there is a vertex v with  $N[v] \cap X = S$ 

V-C dimension of G: maximum size of a shattered set in G

Set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  is shattered:

for every subset  $S \subseteq X$ , there is a vertex v with  $N[v] \cap X = S$ 

V-C dimension of G: maximum size of a shattered set in G

Theorem (Bousquet, Lagoutte, Li, Parreau, Thomassé, Trunck, 2014+)

Let G be a graph with n vertices, V-C dimension  $\leq c$ . Then  $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(G) \geq n^{1/c}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  interval graphs (c = 2), line graphs (c = 4), permutation graphs (c = 3), unit disk graphs (c = 3), planar graphs (c = 4)...

Set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  is shattered:

for every subset  $S \subseteq X$ , there is a vertex v with  $N[v] \cap X = S$ 

V-C dimension of G: maximum size of a shattered set in G

**Theorem** (Bousquet, Lagoutte, Li, Parreau, Thomassé, Trunck, 2014+)

Let G be a graph with n vertices, V-C dimension  $\leq c$ . Then  $\gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G) \geq n^{1/c}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  interval graphs (c = 2), line graphs (c = 4), permutation graphs (c = 3), unit disk graphs (c = 3), planar graphs (c = 4)...

But better bounds exist:

- planar graphs:  $\gamma^{\mathsf{ID}}(G) \geq \frac{n}{7}$  (Slater & Rall, 1984)
- line graphs:  $\gamma^{ID}(G) \geq \frac{3\sqrt{2n}}{4}$  (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2013)

#### IDENTIFYING CODE

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there an identifying code of G of size k?

- polynomial for graphs of bounded clique-width via MSOL (Courcelle)
- NP-complete for:
  - bipartite (Charon, Hudry, Lobstein, 2003)
  - planar bipartite unit disk (Müller & Sereni, 2009)
  - planar arbitrary girth (Auger, 2010)
  - planar bipartite subcubic (F. 2013)
  - co-bipartite, split (F. 2013)
  - line (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2013)

#### IDENTIFYING CODE

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there an identifying code of G of size k?

- $O(\log \Delta)$ -approximable (SET COVER)
- constant *c*-approximation for:
  - planar, c = 7 (Slater, Rall, 1984)
  - line, c = 4 (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2013)
  - interval, c = 2 (Bousquet, Lagoutte, Parreau, Thomassé, Trunck, 2014+)
  - unit interval, PTAS
- hard to approximate within  $o(\log n)$  for:
  - general graphs (Laifenfeld, Trachtenberg + Suomela 2007)
  - bipartite, split, co-bipartite (F. 2013)
- APX-hard for:
  - line (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2013)
  - subcubic bipartite (F. 2013)

#### IDENTIFYING CODE

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there an identifying code of G of size k?

- Trivially FPT for parameter k because  $n \leq 2^k \rightarrow$  whole graph is kernel.
- Trivial *polynomial* kernel for interval, permutation, line, planar...

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IDENTIFYING}}$  CODE is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation.
**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

IDENTIFYING CODE is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation.

Reduction from 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING:

- INPUT: A, B, C sets and  $\mathscr{T} \subset A \times B \times C$  triples
- QUESTION: is there a perfect 3-dimensional matching  $M \subset T$ , i.e., each element of  $A \cup B \cup C$  appears exactly once in M?

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

IDENTIFYING CODE is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation.

Reduction from 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING:

- INPUT: A, B, C sets and  $\mathscr{T} \subset A \times B \times C$  triples
- QUESTION: is there a perfect 3-dimensional matching  $M \subset T$ , i.e., each element of  $A \cup B \cup C$  appears exactly once in M?

Main idea: an interval can separate pairs of intervals far away from each other (without affecting what lies in between)

**Dominating gadget**: ensure all intervals are dominated and most, separated.

**Dominating gadget**: ensure all intervals are dominated and most, separated.



#### Complexity - transmitters

**Transmitter gadget**: to separate  $\{uv^1, uv^2\}$  and  $\{vw^1, vw^2\}$ , either:

- 1. take only v into solution, or
- 2. take both u, w and separate pairs  $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{y_1, y_2\}, \{z_1, z_2\}$  "for free".



#### Complexity - transmitters

**Transmitter gadget**: to separate  $\{uv^1, uv^2\}$  and  $\{vw^1, vw^2\}$ , either:

- 1. take only v into solution, or
- 2. take both u, w and separate pairs  $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{y_1, y_2\}, \{z_1, z_2\}$  "for free".



3DM instance on 3n elements, m triples.

 $\exists$  3-dimensional matching  $\iff \gamma^{ID}(G) \le 94m + 10n$ 



three element gadgets for a, b and c

# Complexity of IDENTIFYING CODE



**Ladder graph**  $L_m$ : grid graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$ .

**Cycle cover of graph** G: set  $\mathscr{S}$  of cycles of G s.t.  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}} E(S) = E(G)$ .



**Ladder graph**  $L_m$ : grid graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$ .

**Cycle cover of graph** G: set  $\mathscr{S}$  of cycles of G s.t.  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}} E(S) = E(G)$ .



**Ladder graph**  $L_m$ : grid graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$ .

**Cycle cover of graph** G: set  $\mathscr{S}$  of cycles of G s.t.  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}} E(S) = E(G)$ .



reduces to LADDER CYCLE COVER.

**Ladder graph**  $L_m$ : grid graph  $P_2 \Box P_m$ .

**Cycle cover of graph** G: set  $\mathscr{S}$  of cycles of G s.t.  $\bigcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}} E(S) = E(G)$ .



# Part II: metric dimension

## Determination of Position in 3D euclidean space

GPS: need to know the exact position of 4 satellites + distance to them



#### Determination of Position in 3D euclidean space

GPS: need to know the exact position of 4 satellites + distance to them



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:

Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:



Now,  $w \in V(G)$  separates  $\{u, v\}$  if  $dist(w, u) \neq dist(w, v)$ 

**Definition** - Resolving set (Slater, 1975 - Harary & Melter, 1976)

 $R \subseteq V(G)$  resolving set of G:  $\forall u \neq v$  in V(G), there exists  $w \in R$  that separates  $\{u, v\}$ .



MD(G): metric dimension of G, minimum size of a resolving set of G.





Leg: path with all inner-vertices of degree 2, endpoints of degree  $\geq$  3 and 1.



Leg: path with all inner-vertices of degree 2, endpoints of degree  $\geq$  3 and 1.



Observation

R resolving set. If v has k legs, at least k-1 legs contain a vertex of R.

Leg: path with all inner-vertices of degree 2, endpoints of degree  $\geq$  3 and 1.



Observation

R resolving set. If v has k legs, at least k-1 legs contain a vertex of R.

Simple leg rule: if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.

Leg: path with all inner-vertices of degree 2, endpoints of degree  $\geq$  3 and 1.



Observation

R resolving set. If v has k legs, at least k-1 legs contain a vertex of R.

Simple leg rule: if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.

**Theorem** (Slater 1975)

For any tree, the simple leg rule produces an optimal resolving set.

Example of path: no bound  $MD(G) \leq f(n)$  possible.

#### Bounds with diameter

Example of path: no bound  $MD(G) \leq f(n)$  possible.

Theorem (Khuller, Raghavachari, Rosenfeld, 2002)

G on n vertices, diameter D, MD(G) = k. Then  $n \le D^k + k$ .

Example of path: no bound  $MD(G) \leq f(n)$  possible.

Theorem (Khuller, Raghavachari, Rosenfeld, 2002)

G on n vertices, diameter D, MD(G) = k. Then  $n \le D^k + k$ .

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

G permutation graph or interval graph, MD(G) = k, diameter D. Then:

 $n = O(D^2k^2).$ 

Interval graphs:

- Interval in solution defines  $\leq D+1$  "zones" (left and right)  $\rightarrow k(D+1)$  zones
- An interval is determined by beginning + end zone:  $n-k \leq (k(D+1))^2$

Example of path: no bound  $MD(G) \leq f(n)$  possible.

Theorem (Khuller, Raghavachari, Rosenfeld, 2002)

G on n vertices, diameter D, MD(G) = k. Then  $n \le D^k + k$ .

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

G permutation graph or interval graph, MD(G) = k, diameter D. Then:

$$n = O(D^2k^2).$$

Interval graphs:

- Interval in solution defines  $\leq D+1$  "zones" (left and right)  $\rightarrow k(D+1)$  zones
- An interval is determined by beginning + end zone:  $n-k \leq (k(D+1))^2$

Question

Is the bound tight? (There are interval graphs with  $n = \Theta(Dk^2)$ ).

#### METRIC DIMENSION

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there a resolving set of G of size k?

- polynomial for:
  - trees (simple leg rule, Slater 1975)
  - outerplanar (Díaz, van Leeuwen, Pottonen, Serna, 2012)
  - bounded cyclomatic number (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)
  - cographs (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)
- NP-complete for:
  - general graphs (Garey & Johnson 1979)
  - planar (Díaz, van Leeuwen, Pottonen, Serna, 2012)
  - bipartite, co-bipartite, line, split (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)
  - Gabriel unit disk (Hoffmann & Wanke 2012)

#### METRIC DIMENSION

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there a resolving set of G of size k?

- O(log n)-approximable (SET COVER)
- hard to approximate within  $o(\log n)$  for:
  - general graphs (Beerliova et al., 2006)
  - bipartite subcubic (Hartung & Nichterlein, 2013)
- APX-complete for graphs with min. degree n-k

(Hauptmann, Schmied, Viehmann, 2012)

METRIC DIMENSION

**INPUT**: Graph G, integer k. **QUESTION**: Is there a resolving set of G of size k?

W[2]-hard for parameter "solution size", even for bipartite subcubic graphs (Hartung & Nichterlein, 2013)

#### Interval and permutation graphs

G graph of diameter 2. S resolving set of G.

 $\rightarrow$  Every vertex in  $V(G) \setminus S$  is distiguished by its neighborhood within S
## Interval and permutation graphs

G graph of diameter 2. S resolving set of G.

ightarrow Every vertex in  $V(G) \setminus S$  is distiguished by its neighborhood within S

Concept of locating-dominating sets (similar to identifying codes)

## Interval and permutation graphs

G graph of diameter 2. S resolving set of G.

 $\rightarrow$  Every vertex in  $V(G) \setminus S$  is distiguished by its neighborhood within S

Concept of locating-dominating sets (similar to identifying codes)

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

LOCATING-DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation.

## Interval and permutation graphs

G graph of diameter 2. S resolving set of G.

 $\rightarrow$  Every vertex in  $V(G) \setminus S$  is distiguished by its neighborhood within S

Concept of locating-dominating sets (similar to identifying codes)

**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

LOCATING-DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation.

Reduction from LOCATING-DOMINATING SET to METRIC DIMENSION:



**Theorem** (F., Mertzios, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2014+)

METRIC DIMENSION is NP-complete for graphs that are both interval and permutation (and have diameter 2).

# Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION



# Question Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION for graphs of tree-width k? (open for k = 2)

## Question

Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION for graphs of tree-width k? (open for k = 2)

**Cyclomatic number of graph** G (a.k.a feedback edge set number): smallest k with a set S of k edges s.t. G - S is a forest.

#### Question

Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION for graphs of tree-width k? (open for k = 2)

**Cyclomatic number of graph** G (a.k.a feedback edge set number): smallest k with a set S of k edges s.t. G - S is a forest.

*Remark:* cyclomatic number  $\leq k \Rightarrow$  tree-width  $\leq 2k + 1$ 

#### Question

Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION for graphs of tree-width k? (open for k = 2)

**Cyclomatic number of graph** G (a.k.a feedback edge set number): smallest k with a set S of k edges s.t. G - S is a forest.

*Remark:* cyclomatic number  $\leq k \Rightarrow$  tree-width  $\leq 2k + 1$ 

Question

Complexity of METRIC DIMENSION for graphs of cyclomatic number k?

- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



Proposition (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Applying the recursive leg rule creates a computationally equivalent instance.

- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



Proposition (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Applying the recursive leg rule creates a computationally equivalent instance.

G': G with all legs removed.

- Apply simple leg rule if v has  $k \ge 2$  legs, select k-1 leg endpoints.
- Prune graph by removing all legs with a selected vertex, replacing them by v.
- Apply recursively.



**Proposition** (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Applying the recursive leg rule creates a computationally equivalent instance.

G': G with all legs removed.

```
cross vertex of G: degree \geq 3 vertex in G'
```

cross path of G: thread between cross vertices

G has cyclomatic number k, reduced by recursive leg rule.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

G has at most 3k cross paths.

G has cyclomatic number k, reduced by recursive leg rule.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

G has at most 3k cross paths.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Every cross path contains at most 6 "new" vertices in the solution.

G has cyclomatic number k, reduced by recursive leg rule.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

G has at most 3k cross paths.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Every cross path contains at most 6 "new" vertices in the solution.

Theorem (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

There is an  $O(n^{18k})$  algorithm for graphs with cyclomatic number k.

G has cyclomatic number k, reduced by recursive leg rule.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

G has at most 3k cross paths.

Lemma (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

Every cross path contains at most 6 "new" vertices in the solution.

Theorem (Epstein, Levin, Woeginger, 2012)

There is an  $O(n^{18k})$  algorithm for graphs with cyclomatic number k.

#### Proposition

- There is an  $O(n^{9k})$  algorithm.
- There is a 9k-approximation algorithm in polynomial time.
- There is a 3-approximation algorithm in FPT time  $2^{3k} n^{O(1)}$ .



- Bounds for other classes? planar, unit disk, line, trapezoid, ....
- V-C dimension bound for metric dimension?
- Complexity of MD+ID for unit interval + bipartite permutation?
- Complexity of MD for bounded tree-width (and weaker parameters)?
- Parameterized complexity of MD (parameter "solution size")? interval, permutation, chordal, claw-free, planar...

# THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

