Edge-identifying codes (identifying codes in line graphs)

Florent Foucaud¹

joint work with S. Gravier², R. Naserasr¹, A. Parreau², P. Valicov¹

1: LaBRI, Bordeaux (France) 2: Institut Fourier, Grenoble (France)

EUROCOMB' 2011 - September 2nd, 2011















How many detectors do we need?

Let N[u] be the set of vertices v s.t. $d(u, v) \leq 1$

Definition - Identifying code of *G* (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Subset C of V(G) such that:

- C is a dominating set in G: $\forall u \in V(G), N[u] \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and
- C is a separating code in G: $\forall u \neq v$ of V(G), $(N[u]\Delta N[v]) \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Let N[u] be the set of vertices v s.t. $d(u, v) \leq 1$

Definition - Identifying code of *G* (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Subset C of V(G) such that:

- C is a dominating set in G: $\forall u \in V(G), N[u] \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and
- C is a separating code in G: $\forall u \neq v$ of V(G), $(N[u]\Delta N[v]) \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Notation - Identifying code number

 $\gamma^{\rm ID}({\it G}):$ minimum cardinality of an identifying code of ${\it G}$

Identifiable graphs

Let N[u] be the set of vertices v s.t. $d(u, v) \leq 1$

Definition - Identifying code of *G* (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Subset C of V(G) such that:

- C is a dominating set in G: $\forall u \in V(G)$, $N[u] \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and
- C is a separating code in G: $\forall u \neq v$ of V(G), $(N[u]\Delta N[v]) \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Remark - Not all graphs have an identifying code!

Twins = pair u, v such that N[u] = N[v]. A graph is identifiable iff it is twin-free (i.e. it has no twins).

Identifiable graphs

Let N[u] be the set of vertices v s.t. $d(u, v) \leq 1$

Definition - Identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Subset C of V(G) such that:

- C is a dominating set in G: $\forall u \in V(G)$, $N[u] \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and
- C is a separating code in G: $\forall u \neq v$ of V(G), $(N[u]\Delta N[v]) \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Remark - Not all graphs have an identifying code!

Twins = pair u, v such that N[u] = N[v]. A graph is identifiable iff it is twin-free (i.e. it has no twins).



Identifiable graphs

Let N[u] be the set of vertices v s.t. $d(u, v) \leq 1$

Definition - Identifying code of G (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Subset C of V(G) such that:

- C is a dominating set in G: $\forall u \in V(G)$, $N[u] \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and
- C is a separating code in G: $\forall u \neq v$ of V(G), $(N[u]\Delta N[v]) \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Remark - Not all graphs have an identifying code!

Twins = pair u, v such that N[u] = N[v]. A graph is identifiable iff it is twin-free (i.e. it has no twins).



Theorem (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Let G be an identifiable graph, then $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \leq \gamma^{{}^{
m ID}}(G)$

Theorem (Karpovsky, Chakrabarty, Levitin, 1998)

Let G be an identifiable graph, then $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \leq \gamma^{{}^{
m ID}}(G)$







Let N[e] be the set of edges f s.t. e = f or e, f are incident to a common vertex

Definition - Edge-identifying code of *G* (without isolated vertices)

Subset C_E of E(G) such that:

- C_E is an edge-dominating set in $G: \forall e \in E(G), N[e] \cap C_E \neq \emptyset$, and
- C_E is an edge-separating code in G: ∀e ≠ f of E(G), (N[e]ΔN[f]) ∩ C_E ≠ Ø

Let N[e] be the set of edges f s.t. e = f or e, f are incident to a common vertex

Definition - Edge-identifying code of *G* (without isolated vertices)

Subset C_E of E(G) such that:

- C_E is an edge-dominating set in $G: \forall e \in E(G), N[e] \cap C_E \neq \emptyset$, and
- C_E is an edge-separating code in G: ∀e ≠ f of E(G), (N[e]△N[f]) ∩ C_E ≠ Ø

Notation - Edge-identifying code number

 $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G)$: minimum cardinality of an edge-identifying code of G

Edge-identifying code - example



Definition - Line graph of G: Edge-adjacency graph of G

Definition - Line graph of G: Edge-adjacency graph of G



Definition - Line graph of G: Edge-adjacency graph of G









Remark - Not all graphs have an edge-identifying code!

Pendant = pair of twin edges.

A graph is edge-identifiable iff it is pendant-free (and simple).



Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$. This is tight.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$. This is tight.



Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$. This is tight.

Corollary $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \Omega(\sqrt{|V(\mathcal{L}(G))|}).$ This is tight.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$. This is tight.

$$\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \Omega(\sqrt{|V(\mathcal{L}(G))|}).$$
 This is tight.

$$\gamma^{ ext{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G})) = \gamma^{ ext{EID}}(\mathcal{G}) \geq rac{|V(\mathcal{G})|}{2} \geq rac{\sqrt{2|\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})|}}{2} = rac{\sqrt{2|V(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}))|}}{2}$$

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$. This is tight.

 C_E : edge id. code of G. G_1, \ldots, G_ℓ : components of $G[C_E]$ G_i : n_i vertices, k_i edges, n'_i attached vertices from XX: vertices outside of $G[C_E]$



Lower bounds - improvement

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

Lower bounds - improvement

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph. Then $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.



Lower bounds - improvement

$$\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2|V(\mathcal{L}(G))|}}{2}.$$

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph with an edge-identifying code of size k, then $|E(G)| \leq \begin{cases} \binom{\frac{4}{3}k}{2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \mod 3\\ \binom{\frac{4}{3}(k-1)+1}{2}+1, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \mod 3\\ \binom{\frac{4}{3}(k-2)+2}{2}+2, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \mod 3 \end{cases}$

Corollary

$$\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) > \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}\sqrt{|V(\mathcal{L}(G))|}$$
. This is tight.

Extremal examples: C_E = disjoint union of P_4 's, max. possible edges between them.

Corollary

$$\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) > \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}\sqrt{|V(\mathcal{L}(G))|}$$
. This is tight.





The bound does not hold for claw-free graphs!



The bound does not hold for claw-free graphs!

$$\begin{aligned} &A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \text{ and } B = 2^A: \text{ cliques.} \\ &|V(G)| = k + 2^k \\ &\gamma^{\text{\tiny ID}}(G) \leq 2k = O(\log(|V(G)|)) \end{aligned}$$





The bound does not hold for claw-free graphs!

Question

Does it hold for a class defined by a smaller subfamily of Beineke's list?

G is *k*-degenerate if there is an ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n of V(G) such that $\forall i, v_i$ is of degree at most *k* in $G[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$.

G is *k*-degenerate if there is an ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n of V(G) such that $\forall i, v_i$ is of degree at most *k* in $G[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph with a minimal edge-identifying code C_E . Then $G[C_E]$ is 2-degenerate.

G is *k*-degenerate if there is an ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n of V(G) such that $\forall i, v_i$ is of degree at most *k* in $G[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph with a minimal edge-identifying code C_E . Then $G[C_E]$ is 2-degenerate.

Proof: We want to define a good ordering of V(G). Let $uv \in C_E$.

- If $d(u) \leq 2$ or $d(v) \leq 2$, we are done.
- Otherwise, by minimality of C_E , edge uv is needed to separate some pair.
- Then, there is a "local" ordering for removing either *u* or *v*.

G is *k*-degenerate if there is an ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n of V(G) such that $\forall i, v_i$ is of degree at most *k* in $G[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph with a minimal edge-identifying code C_E . Then $G[C_E]$ is 2-degenerate.

Corollary

If G edge-identifiable, $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \leq 2|V(G)| - 3$. Moreover, K_4^- is the only graph reaching this bound.

G is *k*-degenerate if there is an ordering v_1, \ldots, v_n of V(G) such that $\forall i, v_i$ is of degree at most *k* in $G[v_1, \ldots, v_i]$.

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

Let G be an edge-identifiable graph with a minimal edge-identifying code C_E . Then $G[C_E]$ is 2-degenerate.

Corollary

If G edge-identifiable, $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \leq 2|V(G)| - 3$. Moreover, K_4^- is the only graph reaching this bound.

This is almost tight since $\gamma^{EID}(\mathcal{K}_{2,n}) = 2n - 2 = 2|V(\mathcal{K}_{2,n})| - 6$.

Corollary

If G edge-identifiable, $\gamma^{\text{EID}}(G) \leq 2|V(G)| - 3$.

Corollary

If G is an edge-identifiable graph with average degree $\overline{d}(G) \geq 5$, then $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) \leq n - \frac{n}{\Delta(\mathcal{L}(G))}$ where $n = |V(\mathcal{L}(G))|$.

Corollary

If G edge-identifiable,
$$\gamma^{EID}(G) \leq 2|V(G)| - 3$$
.

Corollary

If G is an edge-identifiable graph with average degree $\overline{d}(G) \geq 5$, then $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) \leq n - \frac{n}{\Delta(\mathcal{L}(G))}$ where $n = |V(\mathcal{L}(G))|$.

Conjecture (F., Klasing, Kosowski, Raspaud, 2009)

Let G be a connected identifiable graph on n vertices and of maximum degree Δ . Then $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(G) \leq n - \frac{n}{\Delta} + O(1)$.

Complexity

Problem EDGE-IDCODE

INSTANCE: A graph G and an integer k.

QUESTION: Does G have an edge-identifying code of size at most k?

Problem IDCODE

INSTANCE: A graph G and an integer k.

QUESTION: Does G have an identifying code of size at most k?

Complexity

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

EDGE-IDCODE is NP-complete, even for planar subcubic bipartite graphs of arbitrarily large girth.

Proof by reduction from PLANAR (\leq 3, 3)-SAT

Complexity

Theorem (F., Gravier, Naserasr, Parreau, Valicov, 2011+)

EDGE-IDCODE is NP-complete, even for planar subcubic bipartite graphs of arbitrarily large girth.

Corollary

IDCODE is NP-complete even when restricted to perfect 3-colorable planar line graphs of maximum degree 4.

Thank you!

$$rac{1}{2}|V(\mathcal{G})|\leq \gamma^{ ext{EID}}(\mathcal{G})\leq 2|V(\mathcal{G})|-3$$

In general: $n-1 \ge \gamma^{\text{\tiny ID}}(G) \ge \Omega(\log n)$

In line graphs: $\gamma^{\text{ID}}(G) \geq \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

Bordeaux Workshop on Identifying Codes (and related topics)

21st-25th November, 2011 at the LaBRI in Bordeaux, France

http://bwic2011.labri.fr

Scope:

- Identifying codes
- Locating-dominating sets
- Metric dimension
- Identifying or locating colourings
- Related topics...