

Intruder Deduction for the Equational Theory of *Exclusive-Or* with Commutative and Distributive Encryption

Pascal Lafourcade

SecRet'06

LSV, CNRS UMR 8643, ENS de Cachan & INRIA Futurs
LIF, Université Aix-Marseille 1 & CNRS UMR 6166

Venise, Italy****

15th July 2006

Symbolic approach

- Intruder controls the network
- Messages represented by terms
 - $\{m\}_k$
 - $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$
- Perfect encryption hypothesis

Symbolic approach

- Intruder controls the network
- Messages represented by terms
 - $\{m\}_k$
 - $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$
- Perfect encryption hypothesis

Advantages

- Automatic verification
- Useful abstraction

Symbolic approach

- Intruder controls the network
- Messages represented by terms
 - $\{m\}_k$
 - $\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle$
- Perfect encryption hypothesis + algebraic properties

Advantages

- Automatic verification
- Useful abstraction

State of the Art

XOR : ACUN [Rusinowitch & al 03] [Comon-Shmatikov 03]

❶ $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ **Associativity**

❷ $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ **Commutativity**

❸ $x \oplus 0 = x$ **Unity**

❹ $x \oplus x = 0$ **Nilpotency**

ACUN and homomorphism [LLT05,Del 06] (AG)

$$h(x \oplus y) = h(x) \oplus h(y)$$

ACUN and distributive encryption [LLT06] (AG)

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k$$

ACUN and distributive commutative encryption

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k \text{ and } \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2}$$

State of the Art

XOR : ACUN [Rusinowitch & al 03] [Comon-Shmatikov 03]

- 1 $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ **Associativity**
- 2 $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ **Commutativity**
- 3 $x \oplus 0 = x$ **Unity**
- 4 $x \oplus x = 0$ **Nilpotency**

ACUN and homomorphism [LLT05,Del 06] (AG)

$$h(x \oplus y) = h(x) \oplus h(y)$$

ACUN and distributive encryption [LLT06] (AG)

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k$$

ACUN and distributive commutative encryption

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k \text{ and } \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2}$$

State of the Art

XOR : ACUN [Rusinowitch & al 03] [Comon-Shmatikov 03]

❶ $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ **Associativity**

❷ $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ **Commutativity**

❸ $x \oplus 0 = x$ **Unity**

❹ $x \oplus x = 0$ **Nilpotency**

ACUN and homomorphism [LLT05,Del 06] (AG)

$$h(x \oplus y) = h(x) \oplus h(y)$$

ACUN and distributive encryption [LLT06] (AG)

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k$$

ACUN and distributive commutative encryption

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k \text{ and } \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2}$$

State of the Art

XOR : ACUN [Rusinowitch & al 03] [Comon-Shmatikov 03]

- 1 $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ **Associativity**
- 2 $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ **Commutativity**
- 3 $x \oplus 0 = x$ **Unity**
- 4 $x \oplus x = 0$ **Nilpotency**

ACUN and homomorphism [LLT05,Del 06] (AG)

$$h(x \oplus y) = h(x) \oplus h(y)$$

ACUN and distributive encryption [LLT06] (AG)

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k$$

ACUN and distributive **commutative** encryption

$$\{x \oplus y\}_k = \{x\}_k \oplus \{y\}_k \text{ and } \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{x\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2}$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs
- 4 Decidability Result
- 5 Binary Case
- 6 Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System**
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs
- 4 Decidability Result
- 5 Binary Case
- 6 Conclusion

Extended Dolev-Yao Model

Deduction System:

$$(A) \frac{u \in T}{T \vdash u \downarrow}$$

$$(P) \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash v}{T \vdash \langle u, v \rangle \downarrow}$$

$$(C_K) \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash \{u\}_K \downarrow}$$

$$(D_K) \frac{T \vdash \{u\}_K \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash u \downarrow}$$

$$(UL) \frac{T \vdash \langle u, v \rangle}{T \vdash u \downarrow}$$

$$(UR) \frac{T \vdash \langle u, v \rangle}{T \vdash v \downarrow}$$

$$(GX) \frac{T \vdash u_1 \quad \dots \quad T \vdash u_n}{T \vdash u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \downarrow}$$

Special Rules Encryption and Decryption

(C_K) and (D_K)

$$(C_K) \quad \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash \{u\}_K \downarrow}$$

$$(D_K) \quad \frac{T \vdash \{u\}_K \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash u \downarrow}$$

Where

- $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_n^{\alpha_n}\}$
- $T \vdash K$ is: $T \vdash k_1$ used α_1 times, \dots , $T \vdash k_n$ used α_n times

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs**
- 4 Decidability Result
- 5 Binary Case
- 6 Conclusion

Simple Proofs

simple proof

Each node $T \vdash v$ occurs at most once on each branch.

Cut the loops.

Simple and Flat Proofs

flat proof

Avoids two successive applications of the same rule :
 $(C), (D)$ or (GX) .

Merge rules (GX) , (C) and (D) .

Flat Transformations (I)

Rule (C)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (C_{K_1}) \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash K_1}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1} \downarrow} \quad T \vdash K_2 \\
 (C_{K_2}) \frac{\quad}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1, K_2}} \\
 \Downarrow \\
 (C_{K_1, K_2}) \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash K_1, K_2}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1, K_2} \downarrow}
 \end{array}$$

Flat Transformations (II)

Rule (D)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (D_{K_1}) \frac{T \vdash \{u\}_K \quad T \vdash K_1}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K \setminus K_1} \downarrow} \quad T \vdash K_2 \\
 (D_{K_2}) \frac{\quad}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K \setminus (K_1, K_2)}} \\
 \downarrow \\
 (D_{K_1, K_2}) \frac{T \vdash u \quad T \vdash K_1, K_2}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K \setminus (K_1, K_2)} \downarrow}
 \end{array}$$

Flat Transformations (III)

Rule (GX)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (GX) \frac{T \vdash x_1 \quad \dots \quad T \vdash x_n}{T \vdash x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n} \quad T \vdash y_1 \quad \dots \quad T \vdash y_m \\
 (GX) \frac{\quad}{T \vdash x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n \oplus y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_m} \\
 \downarrow \\
 (GX) \frac{T \vdash x_1 \quad \dots \quad T \vdash x_n \quad T \vdash y_1 \quad \dots \quad T \vdash y_m}{T \vdash x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n \oplus y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_m}
 \end{array}$$

D-eager Proof

D-eager proof = rules (*D*) applied as early as possible.

Definition

In *D-eager* proof these 2 cases are impossible :

$$(D_{K_2}) \frac{
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \hline
 T \vdash u
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \hline
 T \vdash K_1
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \hline
 T \vdash K_2
 \end{array}
 }{
 \begin{array}{c}
 (C_{K_1}) \frac{
 \hline
 T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1}
 }{
 \hline
 \{u\}_{K_1 \setminus K_2}
 }
 \end{array}
 }$$

D-eager Proof

D-eager proof = rules (D) applied as early as possible.

Definition

In D-eager proof these 2 cases are impossible :

$$(D_{K_2}) \frac{(C_{K_1}) \frac{\frac{\vdots}{T \vdash u} \quad \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash K_1}}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1}} \quad \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash K_2}}{\{u\}_{K_1 \setminus K_2}}$$

$$K_2 \cap K_1 \neq \emptyset$$

$$(D_{K_2}) \frac{(GX) \frac{(R_1) \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash \{u_1\}_{K_1}} \quad \dots \quad (R_n) \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash u_n}}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_2}} \quad T \vdash K_2}{T \vdash u}$$

D-eager Transformations (I)

Rule (C) and (D) are commutative

Consequence of simplicity, $K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
 (C_{K_1}) \frac{\overline{T \vdash \{u\}_K} \quad \overline{T \vdash K_1}}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K, K_1}} \quad \frac{\vdots}{\overline{T \vdash K_2}} \\
 (D_{K_2}) \frac{\quad}{\{u\}_{(K, K_1) \setminus K_2}}
 \end{array}$$

Is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
 (D_{K_2}) \frac{\overline{T \vdash \{u\}_K} \quad \overline{T \vdash K_2}}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K \setminus K_2}} \quad \frac{\vdots}{\overline{T \vdash K_1}} \\
 (C_{K_1}) \frac{\quad}{\{u\}_{(K \setminus K_2), K_1} = \{u\}_{(K, K_1) \setminus K_2}}
 \end{array}$$

D-eager Transformation (II)

if $K_2 \cap K_1 \neq \emptyset$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 (R_1) \frac{}{T \vdash \{B_1\}_{K_1}} \\
 \vdots \\
 (R_2) \frac{}{T \vdash B_2} \quad \dots \quad (R_n) \frac{}{T \vdash B_n} \\
 \vdots
 \end{array} \\
 (GX) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1}} \quad T \vdash K_2 \\
 (D_{K_2}) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1 \setminus K_2}}
 \end{array}
 \Downarrow
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 (R_2) \frac{}{T \vdash B_2} \quad \dots \quad (R_n) \frac{}{T \vdash B_n} \\
 \vdots
 \end{array} \\
 (GX) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K_1}} \quad T \vdash K_2 \cap K_1 \\
 (D_{K_2 \cap K_1}) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{(K_1 \setminus K_2) \cap K_1}} \quad \dots \quad (D_{K_2 \cap K_1}) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{(K_1 \setminus K_2) \cap K_1}} \\
 (GX) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{(K_1 \setminus K_2) \cap K_1}} \quad T \vdash K_2 \setminus K_1 \\
 (D_{K_2 \setminus K_1}) \frac{}{T \vdash \{u\}_{(K_1 \setminus K_2) \cap K_1} \setminus (K_2 \setminus K_1)} = \{u\}_{K_1 \setminus K_2}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

\oplus -eager Proofs

\oplus -eager proof = rules (GX) applied as early as possible.

Definition

A \oplus -eager proof authorizes only :

$$(GX) \frac{(C_{K_1}) \frac{T \vdash x_1 \quad T \vdash K_1}{T \vdash \{x_1\}_{K_1}} (C_{K_2}) \frac{T \vdash x_2 \quad T \vdash K_2}{T \vdash \{x_2\}_{K_2}} (R_1) \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash z_1} \dots (R_m) \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash z_m}}{T \vdash \{x_1\}_{K_1} \oplus \{x_2\}_{K_2} \oplus z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus z_m}$$

with $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs
- 4 Decidability Result**
- 5 Binary Case
- 6 Conclusion

Main Theorem

The intruder deduction problem for a commutative and distributive encryption over XOR is decidable in 2-EXP-TIME.

Proof :

Using usual MacAllester approach :

- Locality Lemma
- $S_{\oplus}(T)$ computable in 2-EXP-TIME
- One-step deducibility in PTIME (solving linear equations)

Subterms

Definition

The set of *subterms* of a term t is the smallest set $S_T(t)$ s.t.:

- $t \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\langle u, v \rangle \in S_T(t)$ then $u, v \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\{u\}_K \in S_T(t)$ and $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ then $u \in S_T(t)$ and $k_i \in S_T(t)$ for all i $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- if $u = u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$ then all $u_i \subseteq S_T(t)$.
- If $n > 1$, $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ and $\{u_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{u_n\}_K \in S_T(t)$ then $u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$.

Subterms

Definition

The set of *subterms* of a term t is the smallest set $S_T(t)$ s.t.:

- $t \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\langle u, v \rangle \in S_T(t)$ then $u, v \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\{u\}_K \in S_T(t)$ and $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ then $u \in S_T(t)$ and $k_i \in S_T(t)$ for all i $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- if $u = u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$ then all $u_i \in S_T(t)$.
- If $n > 1$, $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ and $\{u_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{u_n\}_K \in S_T(t)$ then $u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$.

Example : $u = \{a\}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}$ then $S_T(u) =$
 $\{u, a, k_1, k_2, k_3, \{a\}_{k_1}, \{a\}_{k_2}, \{a\}_{k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_2}, \{a\}_{k_2, k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_3}\}$

Subterms

Definition

The set of *subterms* of a term t is the smallest set $S_T(t)$ s.t.:

- $t \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\langle u, v \rangle \in S_T(t)$ then $u, v \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\{u\}_K \in S_T(t)$ and $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ then $u \in S_T(t)$ and $k_i \in S_T(t)$ for all i $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- if $u = u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$ then all $u_i \in S_T(t)$.
- If $n > 1$, $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ and $\{u_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{u_n\}_K \in S_T(t)$ then $u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$.

Example : $u = \{a\}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}$ then $S_T(u) =$
 $\{u, a, k_1, k_2, k_3, \{a\}_{k_1}, \{a\}_{k_2}, \{a\}_{k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_2}, \{a\}_{k_2, k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_3}\}$

$$S_{\oplus}(T) := \left\{ \left(\bigoplus_{s \in M} s \right) \downarrow \mid M \subseteq S_T(T) \right\}$$

Subterms

Definition

The set of *subterms* of a term t is the smallest set $S_T(t)$ s.t.:

- $t \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\langle u, v \rangle \in S_T(t)$ then $u, v \in S_T(t)$.
- if $\{u\}_K \in S_T(t)$ and $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ then $u \in S_T(t)$ and $k_i \in S_T(t)$ for all i $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- if $u = u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$ then all $u_i \in S_T(t)$.
- If $n > 1$, $K = \{k_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, k_p^{\alpha_p}\}$ and $\{u_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{u_n\}_K \in S_T(t)$ then $u_1 \oplus \dots \oplus u_n \in S_T(t)$.

Example : $u = \{a\}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}$ then $S_T(u) =$

$\{u, a, k_1, k_2, k_3, \{a\}_{k_1}, \{a\}_{k_2}, \{a\}_{k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_2}, \{a\}_{k_2, k_3}, \{a\}_{k_1, k_3}\}$

$$S_{\oplus}(T) := \left\{ \left(\bigoplus_{s \in M} s \right) \downarrow \mid M \subseteq S_T(T) \right\} \quad \text{2-EXP-TIME}$$

Idea of our approach (I)

Lemma

P a minimal proof in number of nodes $\Rightarrow P$ is S. F.

Idea of our approach (I)

Lemma

P a minimal proof in number of nodes $\Rightarrow P$ is S. F.

Let P be a proof of $T \vdash w$

- 1 From a proof to S. F. proof
- 2 From S. F. proof to S. F. *D-eager* proof
- 3 From S. F. *D-eager* proof to S. F. \oplus -*eager* and *D-eager* proof

Idea of our approach (II)

Lemma (D)

Let P be a Simple Flat D -eager and \oplus -eager proof of $T \vdash w$ if P is

$$(D_K) \frac{(R) \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash \{u\}_K \downarrow = r} \quad \frac{\vdots}{T \vdash K \downarrow}}{T \vdash u}$$

then $\{u\}_K \in S_{\oplus}(T)$.

Proof of Lemma(D)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (R_1) \frac{T \vdash B_1}{T \vdash B'_1} \quad \dots \quad (R_n) \frac{T \vdash B_n}{T \vdash B'_n} \quad \vdots \\
 (GX) \frac{\quad}{T \vdash \{u\}_{K \downarrow}} \quad \frac{\quad}{T \vdash K \downarrow} \\
 (DK) \frac{\quad}{T \vdash u \downarrow}
 \end{array}$$

If $(R_1) = (C_{K'})$ use to prove that all $B'_i \in S_{\oplus}(T)$:

- $B'_1 = \{B_1\}_{K'}$
- D -eager $\Rightarrow K \cap K' = \emptyset$
- \oplus -eager \Rightarrow no rule $(R_j) = (C_{K''})$ s.t. $K'' \cap K = \emptyset$

Intruder Deduction Problem

Locality Lemma

A Simple Flat D -eager and \oplus -eager proof of $T \vdash w$ is a $S_{\oplus}(T, w)$ -local proof.

Main Theorem

The intruder deduction problem for a commutative and distributive encryption over XOR is decidable in 2-EXP-TIME.

Proof :

Using usual MacAllester approach :

- Locality Lemma
- $S_{\oplus}(T)$ computable in 2-EXP-TIME
- One-step deducibility in PTIME (solving linear equations)

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs
- 4 Decidability Result
- 5 Binary Case**
- 6 Conclusion

Definitions

Binary proof

All nodes of P with \oplus are of the form $* \oplus *$

- Asymmetric encryption

$$(D_K) \frac{T \vdash \{u\}_K \quad T \vdash \text{Inv}(K)}{T \vdash u \downarrow}$$

- Notation $\{\{u\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2}$ by $\{u\}_{k_1 k_2}$
- Uniform word problem in commutative semi-groups (CSG) is EXP-SPACE hard [Mayr Meyer 82].

Result

Result

In binary case the intruder deduction is EXP-SPACE-hard.

Remark : Assume not *Inv* symbol in $T \Rightarrow$ only rule (C) and (GX)

Transformation

$$(C_K) \frac{(GX) \frac{T \vdash x_1 \dots T \vdash x_1}{T \vdash x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n} \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash \{x_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{x_n\}_K}$$

gives

$$(GX) \frac{(C_K) \frac{T \vdash x_1 \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash \{x_1\}_K} \dots (C_K) \frac{T \vdash x_n \quad T \vdash K}{T \vdash \{x_n\}_K}}{T \vdash \{x_1\}_K \oplus \dots \oplus \{x_n\}_K}$$

Idea of the Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(A)} \frac{\{\ast\}_{\gamma_1} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_1} \in T}{T \vdash \{\ast\}_{\gamma_1} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_1}} \\
 \text{(C)} \frac{}{\vdots} \\
 \text{(C)} \frac{}{T \vdash \{\ast\}_{\gamma_1 c_1} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_1 c_1}} \\
 \text{(GX)} \frac{}{T \vdash \{\ast\}_{\alpha} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\beta}}
 \end{array}
 \quad \dots \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{(A)} \frac{\{\ast\}_{\gamma_l} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_l} \in T}{T \vdash \{\ast\}_{\gamma_l} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_l}} \\
 \text{(C)} \frac{}{\vdots} \\
 \text{(C)} \frac{}{T \vdash \{\ast\}_{\gamma_l c_l} \oplus \{\ast\}_{\delta_l c_l}}
 \end{array}$$

An instance of uniform word problem in CSG is:

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n = \beta_n \models \alpha = \beta$$

Chose :

$$\alpha =_C \gamma_1 c_1, \quad \delta_1 c_1 =_C \gamma_2 c_2, \quad \dots \quad \delta_{l-1} c_{l-1} =_C \gamma_l c_l, \quad \delta_l c_l =_C \beta$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Introduction
 - State of the Art
- 2 Intruder Deduction System
- 3 Different Kinds of Proofs
- 4 Decidability Result
- 5 Binary Case
- 6 Conclusion**

Results & Future Works

Results

- Solve Intruder deduction problem in 2-EXP-TIME
- In binary case a precise complexity.

Future Works

- Extension : **AG** and distributive, commutative encryption
- **Active Intruder** for ACUN and distributive encryption

Thank you for your attention



Questions ?